UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,618	09/25/2003	Stephen T. Flock	D6476	6784	
Benjamin Aaroi	7590 12/04/200 n Adler	EXAMINER			
ADLER & ASS 8011 Candle La	SOCIATES	WITCZAK, CATHERINE			
Houston, TX 77			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/670,618	FLOCK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CATHERINE N. WITCZAK	3767		

		CATHERINE N. W	ITCZAK	3767	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover s	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	 EPLY FILED <u>16 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			-	
1. ⊠ Ti a _l a _l fo	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplication, applicant must timely file one of the following oplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	the same day as fili replies: (1) an amen eal (with appeal fee)	ng a Notice of a dment, affidavi in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<u> </u>	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) 🗀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) that ater than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
have be- under 37 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (ms of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition u tension and the corresp shortened statutory per than three months afte	nder 37 CFR 1.1 conding amount of iod for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER	11 37 must ha t	filed within two months	of the date of
fil N	ing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed websites the property of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed websites.	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🔲 1	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date	of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
	They raise new issues that would require further co				
(b	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·		
(0	$\mathbb{C} igcup \square$ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal b	y materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
	appeal; and/or				
(c	I) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a $\mathfrak c$	corresponding numb	er of finally reje	ected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 1	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached No	tice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				,
6. 🔲 N	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
ho T C C C	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) but the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
b w	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons v	why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
ei sl	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejection y and was not earlier	ns under appea presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the teach in paragraph [0020] that the device can be used to the teachings of Parkin et al to provide the device of Yar Avrhami teaches in paragraphs [0063]-[0072] a device for skin and further includes a sensor to monitor a physiology. Thus, it would have been obvious to apply the teachings to a device for ablating skin and delivering a substance the tway to control the use of the device of Yamazak et a Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	the teachings of the premove aged horny mazaki with a means or delivering substangical parameter so as of Avrhami et al to to the skin) with a ph	references. Exy cells and dirt as of collecting the cet of skin whice to skin whice to more accurately or ovide the devysiological sen	aminer disagrees. Yar and thus it would be o nis debris for hygenic i th also ablates the epi- rately control the use o rice of Yamazaki (whice	nazaki et al bvious to apply ourposes. dermis of the of the device. h is also drawn
13.		(i 10/3b/00) Faper	140(5)		
10. I I	Julei				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Catherine N Witczak/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091202